

# Three Towns Locality Partnership

# Wednesday 16 June 2021 at 6.00 p.m Via Microsoft Teams

## Business

Item	Subject	Pg No	Ref	Officer	Timings
1.	Welcome, Apologies and Declarations of Interest.		-	Cllr Gurney	6.00 –
2.	Action Note Review the action note from and deal with any outstanding items.		Enclosed	Karen Yeomans	6.00 - 6.05
3.	Better Off North Ayrshire Receive update from Lauren Cowan and Jackie Dunagan, CHAP.	-	Verbal	Lauren Cowan/ Jackie Dunagan	6.05 – 6.20
4.Ayrshire Hospice Receive update from Carla McEwan, Chief Executive, Ayrshire Hospice.		-	Presentation	Carla McEwan	6.20 – 6.35
5.	Locality Priorities, Receive update from Morna Rae.	-	Presentation	Morna Rae	6.35 – 6.55
6.	<ul> <li>Youth Participation and Citizenship</li> <li>Receive update from Payton Lee and Megan Dolan.</li> </ul>		Presentation	Payton Lee/ Megan Dolan	6.55 – 7.10
STAN	DING AGENDA ITEMS				
7.	Learning Update Receive update.	-	Verbal	Head Teachers	7.10 – 7.25
8.	Locality Officer Update Receive update from Shirley Morgan.	Pg 9	Enclosed	Shirley Morgan	7.25 – 7.35
9.	HSCP Update Receive update from Cllr Sweeney	-	Verbal	Cllr Sweeney	7.35 – 7.45
10.	<b>Grants</b> Consider Stevenston Common Good Fund application.	Pg 12	Enclosed	Angela Morrell	7.45 – 7.55
11.	AOCB <ul> <li>Report for information – Roads Maintenance Programme 2021/22</li> </ul>	- Pg 14	Verbal Enclosed	Cllr Gurney	7.55 – 8.00

Date of Next Meeting: Wednesday 15 September 2021 at 6pm Via Microsoft Teams.

#### **Distribution List**

#### **Elected Members**

Councillor Anthony Gurney (Chair) Councillor Timothy Billings Councillor Ellen McMaster Councillor Ronnie McNicol Councillor Jean McClung Councillor Jim Montgomerie Councillor Davina McTiernan Councillor Jimmy Miller Councillor John Sweeney Councillor Robert Barr

#### **Council/CPP Representatives**

Karen Yeomans, Senior Lead Officer Angela Morrell, Lead Officer Shirley Morgan, Locality Officer Alison Wilson, Police Scotland Susan Manson, Third Sector Interface Neil Shearer, Scottish Fire and Rescue Service

#### **Community Representatives**

Pat Breen Julia Gray John Hunter Denise McKenzie (Vice Chair) Elaine Meney Craig Mochan Scott Mould Ian Winton

Meeting:	eting: Three Towns Locality Partnership			
Date/Venue:	17 March 2021: Virtual Meeting at 6.00 p.m.			
Present:	Councillor Tony Gurney (Chair) Councillor Timothy Billings Councillor Ellen McMaster Councillor Ronnie McNicol Councillor Jean McClung Councillor Davina McTiernan Councillor Jimmy Miller Councillor John Sweeney Councillor Robert Barr			
	Julia Gray, Community Representative Ian Winton, Community Council (Stevenston) Sharon Johnstone, Head Teacher, Glencairn Primary Schoo Ann Surgenor, Head Teacher, Ardeer Primary School Alison Ward, Head Teacher, West Kilbride Primary School Amanda Simpson, ACDT	ol		
	Archie McNicol, Active Schools Co-ordinator Susan Manson, Third Sector Interface Representative Megan Conlan: Youth Work Modern Apprentice			
Karen Yeomans, Senior Lead Officer (NAC) Alasdair Laurenson, Senior Manager (Growth and Investment) Morna Rae, Senior Manager (Community Planning, Policy and Performance) Angela Morrell, Lead Officer (NAC) Shirley Morgan, Locality Officer (NAC) Ian Fitzsimmons, Police Scotland Gordon Cowan, Community Development Officer Angela Little, Committee Services Officer (NAC)				
Apologies:	Councillor Jim Montgomerie Craig Mochan, Community Representative Pat Breen, Community Representative John Hunter, Community Representative Scott Mould, Community Representative Payton Lee, Youth Representative			
	ACTIONS			
No. Action		Responsible		
1. Welcome, Apol	1. Welcome, Apologies and Declarations of Interest			
The Chair welco were noted.				
There were no d	There were no declarations of interest in terms of Standing Order 11.			

Action Note from meeting on 2 December 2020			
11. Scottish Centre for Personal Safety (SCPS) – Barony St. John Centre			
The Partnership had requested further information in respect of the balance of funding in Ardrossan Common Good Fund, the direct benefit to the local community, the landlord's relationship with the applicants, and the landlord's responsibility in terms of any upgrade.			
<ul> <li>Ardrossan Common Good Fund balance of £26,960;</li> <li>The group's applications for £6,000 from both Ardrossan Common Good Fund and the Community Benefit Fund towards the upgrade of the heating system;</li> <li>The lease agreement which states the heating system is the responsibility of the lease holder</li> <li>The group's contribution of £500 towards the total cost of £11,532;</li> <li>No guotes for the works had been submitted with the application;</li> </ul>			
<ul> <li>SCPS would like to progress this as soon as possible;</li> <li>Legal Services had advised the application met the terms of the Common Good Fund;</li> <li>The building is owned by Alan Bell and is leased to SCPS on a 25</li> </ul>			
<ul> <li>year lease;</li> <li>Alan Bell is the volunteer Manager of SCPS;</li> <li>The overall general fabric of the building</li> </ul>			
Councillor McNicol, seconded by Councillor Barr moved that the application be refused and the group be advised of any other funding options. There being no amendment the motion was carried.	Angela Morrell		
Ardrossan Campus			
The Partnership received a presentation by Alasdair Laurenson, Senior Manager (Growth and Investment) on the £150m investment programme for Ardrossan which provided details of:-			
<ul> <li>The development that incorporates a community campus, marine sciences centre, private and social house, commercial development, extended marina and extended travel links;</li> <li>The proposed layout of uses and development framework;</li> <li>Consultation that commenced on 15 February and will run till 9 April 2021.</li> </ul>			
• Other technical work that is required and includes the appointment of an Architect, design work of the sea wall and coastal path, Site Remediation Strategy, Transport Assessment and Environmental Assessment; and			
• The advanced works programme, likely start date of 2021 and end date of 2022, with the campus site start in August 2022.			
Discussion took place on the following areas:-			
	<ul> <li>Scottish Centre for Personal Safety (SCPS) – Barony St. John Centre</li> <li>The Partnership had requested further information in respect of the balance of funding in Ardrossan Common Good Fund, the direct benefit to the local community, the landlord's relationship with the applicants, and the landlord's responsibility in terms of any upgrade.</li> <li>Ardrossan Common Good Fund balance of £26,960;</li> <li>The group's applications for £6,000 from both Ardrossan Common Good Fund and the Community Benefit Fund towards the upgrade of the heating system;</li> <li>The lease agreement which states the heating system is the responsibility of the lease holder</li> <li>The group's contribution of £500 towards the total cost of £11,532;</li> <li>No quotes for the works had been submitted with the application;</li> <li>SCPS would like to progress this as soon as possible;</li> <li>Legal Services had advised the application met the terms of the Common Good Fund;</li> <li>The building is owned by Alan Bell and is leased to SCPS on a 25 year lease;</li> <li>Alan Bell is the volunter Manager of SCPS;</li> <li>The overall general fabric of the building</li> </ul> Councillor McNicol, seconded by Councillor Barr moved that the application be refused and the group be advised of any other funding options. There being no amendment the motion was carried. Ardrossan Campus The Partnership received a presentation by Alasdair Laurenson, Senior Manager (Growth and Investment) on the £150m investment programme for Ardrossan which provided details of:- <ul> <li>The development that incorporates a community campus, marine sciences centre, private and social house, commercial development, extended marina and extended travel links; <ul> <li>The proposed layout of uses and development framework;</li> <li>Consultation that commenced on 15 February and will run till 9 April 2021;</li> <li>Other technical work that is required and includes the appointment of an Architect, design work of the sea wall and coastal</li></ul></li></ul>		

	<ul> <li>Issues with the print quality and small scale of the plan that had been circulated to residents. A clearer and better-quality plan that can be provided if required and the information is available online;</li> <li>The lack of responses to the consultation as a result of the Covid restrictions and whether there were other methods of engagement that could be used;</li> <li>The mix and split of 100 private and 40/50 social housing;</li> <li>That the site will be raised 5m above sea level; and</li> <li>Good design that will be key to ensure safe spaces</li> </ul> Noted.	
4.	Locality Priorities, Locality Partnership, Standing Orders and CLD	
	<b>Strategic Plan</b> The Partnership received a presentation by the Senior Manager (Community Planning, Policy and Performance) on the Locality Priorities and Locality Partnership Standing Orders refresh. The current mandate for stakeholder engagement was included in the Agenda.	
	The Senior Manager (Community Planning, Policy and Performance) highlighted:	
	<ul> <li>examples of the progress been made against the existing priorities;</li> <li>the context for a refresh of the Locality Partnership priorities and the options in terms of retaining the existing priorities (in place since 2017), replacing some or all of the priorities, or refocussing the existing priorities to make them more targeted;</li> <li>the information gathering work undertaken to date and the various mechanisms available to contribute (such as CONSUL and Twitter);</li> <li>feedback received so far, including around the importance of access to services and transport, social isolation and employability; and</li> <li>the intention to extend the review process until the June cycle of meetings to allow for more proactive outreach and additional opportunities for feedback.</li> </ul>	
	Senior Manager referred to the intention to review the Standing Orders relating to Locality Partnerships, to include opportunity for a wider community voice and to consider options around the term of office of members.	
	The Partnership was also advised that all education authorities require to submit a 3 Year CLD Strategic Plan to the Scottish Government. Consultation will commence in May/June and the Plan will be submitted to Committee in September 2021.	
	Noted.	
5.	Signage and Public Street Art	
	Shirley Morgan reported there are some funds for signage and street art in Stevenston Town Centre and also for the Ardrossan Connection Project. To	

		ensure consistency across the Three Towns, the local community will be involved in workshops to develop this.	
		Other localities have also expressed an interest in this area and work will begin to identify a partner or someone who has the knowledge and skills to help each locality to progress this project.	
		Noted.	
	6.	Street Naming	
		The Partnership considered a report from Planning on the requirement to name 12 streets in a new residential development at Mayfield Farm, Stevenston. Appendix 1 to the report outlined a range of suggested names and Appendix 2 illustrated the layout of the development.	
		The Partnership agreed that the Chair, the three Stevenston Members and any other interested parties meet outwith the meeting to agree the 12 names for the new residential development.	Chair and Stevenston Members / Karen Yeomans
	7.	Youth Update	
		The Partnership received a youth update from Megan Dolan, that included details of virtual youth groups via Zoom and Teams, the provision of tablets to youth people by the Scratch Group, a recent successful Chit Chat that took place and concerns some young people may have about meeting up with people again and how to help with this.	
		Gordon Cowan advised of a Gaming Package of traditional board games and word searches that has been provided online. Face-to-face youthwork will resume outdoors, but not indoors as yet, with blended learning/youthwork continuing.	
		Noted.	
	8.	Learning Update – Headteachers	
		Head Teachers from Glencairn, Ardeer and West Kilbride Primary Schools reported that there had been very positive engagement by pupils during lockdown with a good take up of online learning.	
		P1-3 pupils had been back at school for a few weeks. P4-7 pupils returned this week and are happy to be back.	
		Noted.	
;	9.	Locality Officer Update	
		Shirley Morgan provided an update on the work which has been undertaken in the locality, full details of which were included in the agenda pack.	
		A detailed report on the work undertaken in the locality had been circulated to the Partnership in the agenda pack. Shirley Morgan provided a highlight of the following areas of work:-	

-		
	<ul> <li>Three Towns Community Support Hub;</li> <li>A range of consultations are underway;</li> <li>Ardrossan Community Campus Space Strategy;</li> <li>Ardrossan North Shore development;</li> <li>Three Towns Locality priorities refresh;</li> <li>Halls Centre and Library Review;</li> <li>Stevenston level crossing;</li> <li>The opening of the Training Station by John Deans;</li> <li>Three Towns Art and Signage Project; and</li> <li>Three Towns Chit Chat.</li> </ul> The Partnership was advised that there will be an Asset Transfer application for Whitlees Community Centre and all support would be gratefully received. Noted.	
10.	HSCP Update	
	John Sweeney, provided a verbal update on the work of Health and Social Care (HSCP) Locality Forum, which included the following:-	
	<ul> <li>The Three Towns Locality Forum met on 15 February;</li> <li>The meeting reflected on the past year and how the communities had coped during the pandemic and considered our priorities;</li> <li>Time was spent on the first of three development sessions to consider the past, present and future of the locality; and</li> <li>A GP will be joining the next meeting as a permanent member of the Forum.</li> </ul>	
	The Partnership was advised that the Three Towns will have a Phlebotomy Hub and details of its location will be forwarded to Members.	
	Noted.	
11.	Grants/PB Update	
	The Partnership received a report on an applications for financial support from Ardrossan and Stevenston Common Good Funds and on the position with regard to the Elderly Grants budget.	
	Ardrossan Common Good Fund	
	Ardrossan Academicals Rugby Football Club had applied for £6,000 towards the costs of boiler replacement works at Ardrossan Rugby Club.	
	CPR Group had applied for £359.10 towards the costs of the manufacturing, packaging and delivery of "Little Lifesaver Packs" for distribution to the 3 Primary Schools in Ardrossan.	
	Stevenston Common Good Fund	

	<ul> <li>CPR Group had applied for £239.40 towards the costs of manufacturing, packaging and delivery of "Little Lifesaver Packs" for distribution to the 2 Primary Schools in Stevenston</li> <li>The Partnership agreed:- <ul> <li>(a) Not to make a funding award to Ardrossan Academicals Rugby Football Club from Ardrossan Common Good Fund and to direct the group to other possible sources of funding;</li> <li>(b) Not to make a funding award to the CPR Group from Ardrossan Common Good Fund and to direct the group to other possible sources of funding;</li> <li>(c) Not to make a funding award to the CPR Group from Stevenston Common Good Fund and to direct the group to other possible sources of funding; and</li> <li>(d) with regard to the Elderly Grants budget (i) to carry forward the budget of £13,036 from 2020/21 and (ii) that it be remitted to officers to convene a meeting with key older people's groups and the current groups who disbursed the Elderly Grants, to consider the key issues affecting older people in order to best meet the needs identified by the older people of North Ayrshire.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	Angela Morrell
12.	AOCB No other competent business.	
13.	The Ayrshire Community Trust (TACT) Update Report An update from TACT had been circulated to the Partnership and gave details of the range of services provided to support the community and voluntary sector. Noted.	

14.	<b>Date of Next Meeting –</b> Wednesday 16 June 2021 at 6.00 pm via Microsoft	
	Teams.	

Meeting ended at 7.55 p.m.



# The Three Towns Progress Report

ership Reporting Period March-June 2021

#### 3 Towns Community Support Hub.

Is still in operation, but as we look at opening community centres we are looking at returning to a model where food parcels can be picked up at community centres again.

We are still developing the support hub ethos with my team moving into Stevenston library with a range of other services available to the community.

The BBC spend a day in the three towns filming volunteers and capturing the work of the support hubs. This was after the Scottish Government released an audit report on how local councils had reacted so quickly to the pandemic. The three towns community support hub was highlighted as a case study of good practice.

#### 3 towns Chit Chat

Second Chit Chat planned for early June where we plan to develop a community action plan. This is also where 3 towns street art and signage project could develop.

#### **Ardrossan Community Sports Hub**

Have employed their full and part time coaches. They also held an open day which was very well attended. Their membership is growing.

#### **Community Centres**

We are working closely with community associations, with a start up tool kit and road map to priorities group. This is a 1-2-1 approach with community associations and community facilities and community development.

#### Youth work

Continues both online and outdoor, Walk and talks have been successful.

Friday night basketball is attended by around 30 young people.

Street work is happening on a rotational basis across the three towns on Friday and Saturday nights successfully engaging with young people on the street.

The joint cabinet took place which included representatives from every school in North Ayrshire. It was a very successful and Youth participation was informative LP - Pg 9



The journey so far



North Ayrshire Community Planning Partnership

thership Reporting Period March-June 2021

**Raise your voice with Ardeer** (RYVWA) – The group have completed all paperwork necessary to CAT the land, a report will go to cabinet on 15<sup>th</sup> June. Sewage and water supply are also agreed. Tender documents completed and out to tender. Project still aiming for October 2021 completion. The group are currently working on an operation plan, need to identify volunteers.

They are also working in partnership with the Food bank are delivering 72 meals every week in the food with dignity project to vulnerable people.

#### **Training Station Saltcoats**

Completed and open. Fantastic community resource with other groups also using the facility.

#### Three Towns Growers (3TG).

- Have a sub group working on the build.
- Advert good to go for development worker.
- Held a recent AGM and have a new board who seem to be proactive. They held their first event, The plant sale, which was very successful! They are also planning their duck race

#### ACDT

Have secured £250,000 for a play area on south beach, plan to launch their wheelers and deck chair projects by July 2021 with a community consultation for next stages. They are supporting for a RCGF in 2022.

#### Saltcoats play area

A group of 12 people have came forward to upgrade Saltcoats play equipment. Early discussions are taking with Streetscene and the group to map out steps required.



### Community Regeneration



# The Three Towns Progress Report

<sup>hership</sup> Reporting Period March-June 2021



Incredible Edible – Planning currently in place to relaunch.

**Three Towns virtual community centre on Face book.** Membership has increased to 575. We post at least 2-3 times every day to get information regarding the three towns out there.

**Stevenston Community Council** have started their planting, they have also recruited new volunteers to help with this. They also held a sponsored walk which helped raised over £1000 for plants.

Environment (Civic pride and Community Engagement)

**Friends of Stevenson** continue to remove litter from the beach every day. The new three towns clean up crew have been very active and more and more people are getting involved and promoting their work on Facebook.

For further information contact: Shirley Morgan. <a href="mailto:smorgan@north-ayrshire.gov.uk">smorgan@north-ayrshire.gov.uk</a>. 07912450212



#### Locality Partnership: Three Towns Locality

Date: 16 June 2021

**Subject:** To advise the meeting of an application received in respect of the Stevenston Common Good Fund

**Purpose:** To consider the application as outlined in Appendix 1 to this report.

#### Background

An application has been received in respect of the Stevenston Common Good Fund.

#### **Key Points for Locality Partnership**

The balance available for disbursement is bullet pointed below:

• The Stevenston Common Good Fund has a balance of £13,626

#### Action Required by Locality Partnership

To consider the application for grant funding as outlined in Appendix 1 to this report.

**For more information please contact:** Angela Morell, Senior Manager, Connected Communities, 2<sup>nd</sup> Floor Cunninghame House, Irvine. Email - amorrell@north-ayrshire.gov.uk

Completed by: Rosemary Fotheringham (<u>rosemaryfotheringham@north-ayrshire.gov.uk</u>)

Date: 04/06/2021

#### STEVENSTON COMMON GOOD FUND APPLICATION FOR FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE 2021/22

# Common Good Criteria - The application should benefit all or a significant group of the inhabitants of the area to which the Common Good relates

Applicant	Purpose of Grant	Amount Requested	Previous Common Good Awards
	<ul> <li>Financial contribution towards planning requirements.</li> <li>Coal Mining Risk Assessment - £900</li> <li>Coal Authority Report - £120</li> <li>Alterations to hydrological modelling and resultant technical drawings - £1,500</li> <li>Fee for planning application - £203</li> </ul> TOTAL £2,723		July 2019/20 - £2,220 towards wetlands consultancy costs November 2019/20 - £4,052 towards creation of community garden

Connected Communities, Finance and Legal Services have been consulted and their comments are as follows:

#### Finance:

Confirmation for the cost of the Coal Mining Risk Assessment, Coal Authority Report and Planning Application Fee had been included. The cost for alterations to hydrological modelling and resultant technical drawings can only be confirmed when the Assessment has been completed.

#### Legal:

In terms of s.15(4) of the Local Government (Scotland) Act 1994 when determining whether an application is to receive assistance from Common Good funds the Council should have regard to the interests of the inhabitants as a whole of the area to which the Common Good relates.

This application is for funds to assist with the costs of obtaining a Coal Mining Risk Assessment and Coal Authority Report, alterations to hydrological modelling and resultant technical drawings and costs associated with the group's Planning Application. The regeneration of the area has the potential to benefit people of all ages within the community and as such can be substantiated from a legal perspective.

If the decision is to award funding the benefit to the community should be clearly recorded as it is likely that the auditor will require this information.

#### **Connected Communities:**

F.R.I.E.N.D.S recently submitted a planning application for permission to create a large wetland at Ardeer quarry nature reserve. Planning have requested that a Risk Assessment in respect of any former mine workings at the site be carried out and funding is being sought to support the costs of this Risk Assessment. The proposed wetland forms part of the group's ongoing project to improve the environmental value and visitor experience at Ardeer Quarry. The project will benefit the local community by attracting more tourists to the area and enhancing the area which is used on a daily basis by a large number of walkers/cyclists. Accordingly, the project meets the criteria of the Common Good Fund.



#### Locality Partnership: Three Towns

Date: 16 June 2021

Subject: Roads, Structures and Street Lighting Maintenance Programme 2021/22

**Purpose:** To advise the Locality Partnership of the proposed Roads, Structures and Street Lighting Maintenance Programme for 2021/22.

#### Introduction

- 1.1 North Ayrshire Council has a statutory obligation under the Roads (Scotland) Act 1984 to manage and maintain its public road network. The adopted road network within North Ayrshire has a total length of 1043km. The core roads assets are currently estimated at a value of approximately £1.7 billion.
- 1.2 North Ayrshire Council is responsible for the maintenance of the adopted local road network including lighting and structures assets as well as its other non-adopted road assets. However, the Council has no responsibility for the maintenance of the Trunk Road Network which falls to Transport Scotland and their management contractor, Amey. The Trunk Road network includes the A78, the A737 from Kilwinning to the Renfrewshire Boundary and A738 from the Pennyburn Roundabout to the A737 Dalry Road Kilwinning.

#### **Current Position**

- 2.1 The Council's Roads Service has adopted an asset management approach to road maintenance to allocate available road maintenance funds to locations that will offer the most beneficial return on the investment.
- 2.2 The Roads Asset Management Plan (RAMP) and the roads assets maintenance strategy follows the recommendations contained within the 'Well Maintained Highways Code of Practice', ensuring that the Council's statutory obligations as delegated Roads Authority are met.
- 2.3 In complying with the Code of Practice, an effective regime of inspection, assessment and condition recording is well established which assists in not only providing a road network for the future but one that promotes social inclusion and contributes to economic growth within the area. This approach also ensures the Council is providing value for money on any investment attributed to road maintenance.

#### Proposals

- 3.1 North Ayrshire Council's roads are the Council's largest community asset and play a vital role in supporting the local and wider economy by facilitating the movement of people, goods and services and connecting people with economic and social opportunities.
- 3.2 The proposed Roads, Structures and Street Lighting Maintenance Programme for 2021/22 has been developed in accordance with the strategy contained within the Roads Asset Management Plan (RAMP) to deliver the maximum return on investment and ensures the provision of an effective road network throughout North Ayrshire.
- 3.3 Road Condition is measured nationally through the Scottish Road Maintenance Condition Survey (SRMCS). The measure in place, the Road Condition Index (RCI), records the percentage of the Council's roads which should be considered for maintenance. North Ayrshire's current RCI is 37.1 which is an improvement from 37.3 in 2019 and from 38.1 in 2018 and 39.1 in 2017.
- 3.4 The estimated carriageway maintenance backlog figure for North Ayrshire is currently £34.800 million. The 'steady state' figure for maintaining our roads at present condition is £4.300 million per year. The allocated capital budget supported by revenue funding is £4.300 million for 2021/22. An additional £1m has been identified from the 'core infrastructure, property and vehicles renewal investment' capital budget allocation, taking the total investment in the road network to £5.300 million for 2021/22. This level of investment outpaces the 'steady state' figure and should therefore contribute to an improvement in network condition over time. It should be noted that the roads investment programme for Arran includes an additional £0.305m for 2021/22, this sum is carried over from the 2020/21 financial year as the programmed works on the island could not be carried out before the 2020/21 financial year end due to restrictions on ferry timetabling as a result of the pandemic.
- 3.5 Road lighting condition is measured through programmes of structural and electrical assessment and testing and is complemented through the ongoing review of age profile and material type life expectancy. The results are categorised and recorded in the lighting asset management database to inform a prioritised list of replacement schemes. Lighting is allocated an annual Capital budget of £1.000m.
- 3.6 Bridge and retaining wall condition is also evaluated through a robust inspection programme. General inspections are undertaken every 2 years, while an in-depth 'principal inspection' is carried out every 6 years. The results of the inspections are input into a Structures database which is then used to calculate an average Bridge Structure Condition Index (BSCIav). The BSCIav is currently 85.7 (2019/20 figure) which still falls within the 'good' classification of 85 to 94. The allocated capital budget supported by revenue funding is £0.710m.

3.7 Details of how condition assessments are carried out and how roads, structures and lighting locations are prioritised for inclusion in our Maintenance Programme are provided in Appendix 2. The assessment matrix used for scoring and ranking footways for inclusion in our footway resurfacing programme is attached in Appendix 3. The assessment matrix used for scoring and ranking structures for inclusion in the Structures Maintenance Programme is attached in Appendix 4.

#### Conclusion

- 4.1 The Roads Maintenance Programme 2021/22, which was approved by North Ayrshire Council's Cabinet on 23 March 2021, is attached in Appendix 1a and 1b.
- 4.2 Details of how condition assessments are carried out and how roads, structures and lighting locations are prioritised for inclusion in our Maintenance Programme are provided at Appendix 2.
- 4.3 A copy of the assessment matrixes used for scoring and ranking carriageway and footways for inclusion in our resurfacing programmes is attached in Appendix 3.
- 4.4 The assessment matrix used for scoring and ranking structures for inclusion in structures maintenance programme is attached in Appendix 4.

#### 5. Recommendation

- 5.1 That the Locality Planning Partnership are asked to note the approach taken to determining the Roads, Structures and Street Lighting Maintenance Programme.
- 5.2 That Locality Planning Partnership are asked to note the Roads, Structures and Street Lighting Programme for 2021/22, as shown at Appendix 1a and b.

Name: Campbell Dempster

Designation: Senior Manager (Network), Commercial Services (Roads)

Date: 20 May 2021

Carriageway Res	surfacing		
Street	Town	Location	Estimate Cost
A71	Irvine	EAC boundary to Corsehill Mount	£130,000
		Roundabout	
A71	Irvine	Corsehill Mount Roundabout towards	£100,000
		Irvine	
A71	Irvine	Greenwood Interchange to Corsehill	£200,000
		Mount Roundabout	
A736	Irvine	Torranyard southwards	£105,000
A737 Irvine Road	Kilwinning	Buckreddan to Eglinton Park Gates	£120,000
A737 Kilwinning road	Irvine	Redburn Roundabout to Castlepark	£130,000
A737 Lauchlan Way	Kilwinning	Tesco car park entrance to Howgate	£80,000
A737 Marress Road Northbound	Irvine	Marress Roundabout to Traffic Lights	£140,000
A738 Glencairn	Stevenston	Jet to Wallace Avenue including Hayocks	£55,000
Street		Roundabout	
A738 High Road	Saltcoats	Jacks Road to McGillivray Avenue	£30,000
B7025 Charles Street	Largs	Full Length	£55,000
B7080 Long Drive	Irvine	Riverside Roundabout to joint at SMA	£50,000
B7080 Long Drive	Irvine	Behind BP Garage to Riverside Roundabout	£100,000
B7081 Main Road	Springside	Dreghorn Roundabout to EAC Boundary	£200,000
B714	Dalry	Girthill Farm to Yonderhouses	£100,000
B769 Middleton Road	Irvine	Stanecastle Road to Bowhouse Rise	£50,000
B779 Sandy Road	Irvine	Bartonholm to new estate	£35,000
B780 Manse Street	Saltcoats	Chapelwell Street to Sainsbury exit	£40,000
B780 Canal Street	Saltcoats	Auchenharvie park to Barnett Crescent	£80,000
B781 Cubrieshaw St	West Kilbride	Number 84 to Gateside Street	£25,000
B896	Cumbrae	Stinking Goat	£35,000
Burns Terrace	Ardrossan	Full Length	£30,000
Clyde Terrace	Ardrossan	Number 55 to Firthview Terrace	£15,000
, Lawson Drive	Ardrossan	Part Length	£65,000
Sorbie Road	Ardrossan	Garage to end of cemetery	£60,000
Head Street	Beith	Park Court to A737	£30,000
Main Street	Beith	Project Contribution	£20,000
Mains Road	Beith	Meadowside to Hawthorn Crescent	£25,000
Clyde Street	Cumbrae	Full Length	£15,000
Hastie Avenue	Cumbrae	Full Length	£30,000
Woodlands Street	Cumbrae	Full Length	£10,000
Broadlie Drive	Dalry	Full Length	£10,000
Craig Avenue	Dalry	Broadlie Avenue to Kirkland Crescent	£10,000
Kirkland Crescent	Dalry	Full Length	£65,000
Peden Avenue cul-	Dalry	4 No.	£25,000
de-sacs			,000
Putyan Avenue	Dalry	Full Length	£20,000
Wingate	Dalry	Full Length	£50,000
Avenue/Burnhouse			_00,000

Wingfaulds Avenue	Dalry	Derestricted area to B780	£40,000
Annick Road	Irvine	Roundabout to pedestrian crossing	£40,000
Bensley Rise	Irvine	Full Length	£30,000
Blairdennon Way	Irvine	Full Length	£30,000
Burns Crescent loop	Irvine	Part Length	£20,000
Campsie Way	Irvine	Towerlands Road to car park at Kilsyth Walk	£50,000
Galt Avenue	Irvine	Full Length	£35,000
Livingstone Terrace	Irvine	Bilby Terrace to Paterson Avenue	£110,000
Martin Avenue	Irvine	Junction with Paterson Avenue (Large Patch)	£10,000
Mill Road	Irvine	Beech Avenue to Thornhouse Avenue	£55,000
Ravenscroft	Irvine	Number 2 to Number 40	£40,000
Stronsay Way	Irvine	Full Length	£40,000
The Glebe	Irvine	Part Length	£15,000
Bankfaulds Avenue	Kilbirnie	from turning circle	£20,000
Hagthorn Avenue	Kilbirnie	o/s school	£10,000
Place View	Kilbirnie	Ladysmith Road to Alexander Fleming	£40,000
Walker Street	Kilbirnie	Full Length	£15,000
Blacklands Avenue	Kilwinning	Part Length	£40,000
Blacklands Crescent	Kilwinning	Full Length	£42,000
Corsehill	Kilwinning	Part Length	£25,000
Evelyn Terrace	Kilwinning	Part Length	£10,000
Pennyburn Road	Kilwinning	Cranberry Road to Sundrum Place	£150,000
Underwood	Kilwinning	Full length	£45,000
Whitehirst Park Road	Kilwinning	From existing surfacing to Duddingston Avenue	£55,000
Brisbane Street	Largs	Full Length	£55,000
Haco Street	Largs	Full Length	£40,000
Kelvin Street	Largs	Full Length	£45,000
Adams Avenue	Saltcoats	Outside shops to circle	£20,000
Galloway Place	Saltcoats	Full Length	£10,000
Guthrie Road/Parkend Road/Braehead Place	Saltcoats	Guthrie Road (except surfaced area) Parkend Road from Guthrie Road to Kerr Avenue	£40,000
Middlepart Crescent	Saltcoats	Part Length	£10,000
Saughtree Avenue	Saltcoats	Full Length	£20,000
Stanley Road	Saltcoats	Full Length	£30,000
Wheatley Road	Saltcoats	Full Length	£25,000
Garven Road	Stevenston	Part Length	£25,000
Highfield Drive	Stevenston	Full Length	£27,000
Kilwinning Road Service Road	Stevenston	Full Length	£26,000
Service Road			LZ0.000
	Stevenston	Full Length	
Shore Road Bellard Road	Stevenston West Kilbride	Full Length Goldenberry Avenue to South Road	£10,000 £15,000

£3,720,000

Carriageway	y Screeding		
Street	Town	Location	Estimate Cost
C20	Irvine	Cunninghame Head to boundary	£15,000
C20	Irvine	Kilmaurs side to layby	£63,000
C24	Irvine	Junction of B769	£3,500
U27	Dalry	Full Length	£47,000
U62	Beith	C56 to 560m past Windyedge	£44,000
U45	Kilbirnie	Milton Road	£40,000
		Coldstream Farm to past Coldstream	
U17	Beith	Bridge	£31,000
U50	Beith	Full Length	£52,000
U63	Beith	Coldstream Bridge No1 to boundary	£57,000
		Bigholm from A737 to U50 Newmills (Part	
U7	Beith	Length)	£66,000
U30	Beith	A737 to B777	£29,000
U48	Beith	Full Length	£16,500
U26	Kilbirnie	Geirston Road (Carried over from 20/21)	£36,000
			£500,000

Patching Contract			
Area	Town	Location	Estimate Cost
Various Locations			£100,000

<b>Footway Resurf</b> Street	Town	Location	Estimate Cost
Ardneil Court	Ardrossan	Part Length	£31,500
Footpaths	Alulossali	Part Length	151,500
Boydston Road	Ardrossan	Junction of Montfode Drive	£2,500
,	Ardrossan		· ·
Elm Park footpaths	_	Internal slabbed paths at front of houses	£15,000
Glebe Court	Beith	Full Length	£10,000
Iona Court	Dreghorn	Number 22-27 underpass at gable no 22, gable number 1	£3,000
Castlepark Circle	Irvine	Lomond Place to Katrine Place	£22,000
Castlepark footpaths	Irvine	Part Length	£30,000
Craignaw Place	Irvine	Bus stop at link footpath to shops	£3,000
Fullarton Footpaths	Irvine	Part Length	£15,000
Heatherstane Bank	Irvine	footpath beside bus route from number	£1,000
		91 to Gable 77	
Kilsyth Crescent	Irvine	Gable no 1 to junction	£2,000
Moorfoot Way	Irvine	Numbers 14 - 34	£3,000
Mull Crescent	Irvine	Between Housing improvements	£15,500
Pladda Crescent	Irvine	Numbers 6 - 10	£2,000
Sillars Meadow	Irvine	Part Length	£13,000
Stronsay Way	Irvine	Rear footpath	£15,500
Pennyburn footpaths	Kilwinning	Corner of Kilkerran Square to Stevenston Road Front of older peoples housing from Cranberry Court to Muirside Place to the bottom of the slope leading to the railway bridge	£16,000
Scott Place & Owen Kelly Place	Saltcoats	Internal Footpaths	£8,000
Eglinton Gardens	Skelmorlie	Halketburn to Skelmorlie Castle Road	£22,500
Overtoun Road	Springside	Opposite nursery and outside Premier Stores	£6,000
Cambuskeith Road	Stevenston	Full Length	£30,000
Drumilling Drive	West Kilbride	Both sides	£7,500
Weston		Bowling green side, from Orchard Street	-
Terrace/South Road	West Kilbride	to Alton Street	£8,000
	-	·	£282,000

Lighting Deteriorated Infrasti Area	Town	Estimate Cost
Bath Villas		
Hill Lane		
Bridgepark		
Eglinton Square	A value as a v	64.60.000
Colehill Place	Ardrossan	£160,000
Arran Lane		
Dalry Road - Service Road		
Ardneil Court		
Dalry:-		-
East Kirkland		
West Kirklands Place		
Aitken Street		
Merksworth Avenue		
Russell Drive - check ownership		
Garnock Street	Dalry & Kilwinning	£145,000
Kilwinning:-		
Pathfoot View		
Ladyacre		
Tammy Dales Road		
Corsehill		
Irvine:-		
Kirkgate		
Caldon Road		
Stevenston:-		
Donaldson Ave		
Hawkhill Place	Stevenston & Irvine	£220,000
High Road		
Kilwinning Road - Service Road		
Townhead Street		
Millhill Road		
Campsie Walk	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	<u>.</u>
Fintry Terrace		
Fintry Place		
Campsie Avenue		
Campsie Way	Bourtreehill, Irvine	£215,000
Kilpatrick Court		1213,000
Kilpatrick Court Kilpatrick Place		
Kilsyth Crescent		
Kilsyth Walk		
-	· · ·	<u>.</u>
Capringstone Foot		
Drummuir Foot		
Fortacre Place		C245 000
East Bowhouse Way	Girdle Toll, Irvine	£215,000
Busbiehead		
Bowhouse Rise		
East Bowhouse Head		

Southook Row		-
Bowhouse Head		
Rigfoot		
Newfield Place		
Newtonhead		
Overton Place		
West Kilbride:-		
Farmfield Terrace		
Craufurd Avenue		
Law Brae West Kill	oride & Largs	£95,000
Simson Avenue		
Largs:-		
Allanpark St		
		£1,050,000

Lighting Deterio	rated Column Replacem	ents	
Area	Town	Location	Estimate Cost
Various Unplanned Loc	cations	-	£150,000

Lighting Deteriorated Column Inspections				
Area	Town	Location	Estimate Cost	
Various Locations			£50,000	

Structures Proj	iects		
Structure	Town	Project	Estimate Cost
Merryvale	Irvine	Strengthening and refurbishment	£250,000
Footbridge			
Multi Storey Car	Irvine	Strengthening and refurbishment	£120,000
Park			
Kirkland Bridge	Busbiehill	Replacement (cost shared with EAC)	£60,000
Threadmill Bridge	Kilwinning	Replacement / Strengthening	£100,000
			£530,000

Carriageway Resurfacing:	£3,720,000
Carriageway Screeding:	£500,000
Patching Contract:	£100,000
Footway Resurfacing:	£282,000

Overall Mainland Total:	£6,882,000
Structures Projects:	£530,000
Deteriorated Column Inspections:	£50,000
Deteriorated Column Replacements:	£150,000
Lighting Infrastructure Replacements:	£1,050,000

<b>Carriageway Re</b> Street	Town	Location	Estimata Cost
SITEEL	TOWN		Estimate Cost
Carry Over from 2	2020/21		
A841	.841 Whiting Bay Largiemhor House to Ashdale Way		£65,000
		(Increased Length shown in 2021/22)	
A841	Brodick	North of Market Road to West of Co-	£100,000
		ор	
B880	Shiskine	Bridgend to Shiskine 30 mph signs	£30,000
		(Partially Completed)	
B880	Shiskine	Surface dressing at Balmichael to past	£62,000
		Balmichael House Junction	
Hospital road	Lamlash	From Margnaheglish Road Junction	£22,000
Manse Road	Lamlash	Full Length	£66,000
Margnaheglish Road	Lamlash	From A841 to Junction Hospital road	£25,000
		2020/21 Total	£305,000
2021/22			
2021/22			
A841	Whiting Bay	Ashdale Way to Fernbank (Increased	C206 000
A841	Lamlash	Length from 2020/21)	£206,000
-		End of new surface at Glenarry	£72,000
C147	Whiting Bay	South of Braehead Cottage to Joint at	£08 000
C1 47	Kildonan	Largybeg	£98,000
C147		Dippen Lodge	£30,000
C3 Ross Road	Lamlash	Contribution with Timber Transport	£35,000
Rodden Road	Shiskine	From Shiskine to Torbeg	£92,000
Kildonan Low Road	Kildonan	Miodar to church brae	£72,000
C147	Kildonan	Landslip Contribution	£75,000
		2021/22 Total	£680,000
			C085 000
			£985,000

Footway Resu	ırfacing		
Street	Town	Location	Estimate Cost
The Avenues	Lamlash	Full Length	£5,000
Park Terrace	Lamlash	Part Length	£8,000
Silverhill	Whiting Bay	Part Length	£5,000
-			£18,000

Structures Proj	iects		
Structure	Town	Project	Estimate Cost
C147-55 Kildonan Landslip	Kildonan	Retaining Wall Construction	£150,000
C147-049 Culvert West of Ballymeanoch	Kildonan	Design work and ground investigation for retaining wall strengthening	£30,000
	-	•	£180,000

Carriageway Resurfacing 2020/	£305,000				
Carriageway Resurfacing 2021/	£680,000				
Footway Resurfacing:	£18,000				
Structures Projects:	£180,000				
Overall Arran Total:	£1,183,000				

#### **Condition Assessment and Prioritisation Process**

- 1.1 The Audit Scotland Follow-up report, Maintaining Scotlands Roads published in August 2016 stated that Councils should use their RAMPs to establish long term investment plans for maintaining the road network taking into acount whole-life costing and treatment options.
- 1.2 As part of the Roads Asset Management process, annual condition assessments are carried out on the public road network as part of the inspection regime. All locations are assessed using a risk based approach.
- 1.3 Condition assessments are carried out simultaneously with the Safety Inspections in accordance with the pre-determined timescales contained within our Safety Inspection Manual. All faults noted during these inspections are logged within our electronic Routine Maintenance System (RMS).
- 1.4 For carriageways, to take account of whole-life and different treatment options the carriageway maintenance programme is developed using road asset management principles. Lifecycle planning is at the core of this approach and takes into account, hierarchies, condition and local community priorities. Preventative treatments are used to prolong the life of carriageway surfaces before their condition deteriorates and requires extensive resurfacing.
- 1.5 The main factors considered are:-
  - Road Condition based on detailed visual inspection and the Scottish Road Maintenance Conditions Survey (SRMCS).
  - Road Hierarchy this takes account of the strategic importance of the road and is determined from our Local Transport Plan.
  - Assistance to Council and Community Priorities this takes account of other priorities such as economic development, access to shops, amenity housing or schools.
- 1.6 Carriageways and footways are both condition assessed and scored in accordance with the assessment table below. Where the condition assessment score (referring to table below) is assessed as being 11 or more, then a priority assessment is carried out, in accordance with the Scheme Assessment Form attached as Appendix 3.

#### Assessment Table

	CONDITION			
Extent	1 (Acceptable)	2 (Safe but poor appreance)	3 (Minor deterioration)	4 (Major deterioration)
1 - Up to 25%		5	9	13
2 – 25% to 50%		6	10	14
3 – 50% to 75%		7	11	15
4 – 75% to 100%	4	8	12	16

Each location that progresses onto the prioritisation process is rated using the additional criteria contained in the Scheme Assessment Form and is placed on the strategic list of priorities relative to its score. Each location is also reviewed at least once a year depending on its location within the Roads Hierarchy as part of the routine inspection process.

- 1.7 There are various types of surfacing materials and processes available depending on the particular road type, location and level of existing deterioration. Options available for treatment include preventative measures such as surface dressing, micro surfacing or asphalt preservation. Resurfacing options such as screeding, resurfacing (inlay and overlay), retread and overlay and depending on the severity of deterioration full reconstruction may be the most effective option.
- 1.8 The level of investment associated with the varying treatment types identified in the table below was established using the Society of Chief Officers of Transportation in Scotland's cost projection model, developed as part of the Roads Asset Management Planning project. The model assists with identifying the effect of various treatments on the on-going condition of the carriageway. This enables a more accurate design life for the treatments currently available to be developed and ensuring value for money on their use. An option appraisal matrix has also been developed to assist with the identification of the most appropriate treatment to be used at each location.

### Treatment Option Table

Treatment Option	Cost / Sqm	Extension to life
Surface Dressing	£3.30	Up to 10 years
Micro Surfacing	£11.35	7 – 10 years
Asphalt Preservation	£5.00	Up to 5 years
Screeding	£9.00	5 – 10 years
Retread	£22.50	Up to 20 years
Inlay HRA	£18.00	Up to 20 years
Inlay SMA	£18.00	Up to 10 years
Overlay <100mm	£20.70	Up to 20 years
Inlay 100mm	£27.26	Up to 20 years
Reconstruction 300mm	£112.40	Up to 20 years

- 1.9 Street Lighting column replacement is prioritised through non-destructive strength testing to determine the level of deterioration associated with the columns. Following testing, columns are categorised within the Asset Management database for road lighting.
- 1.10 Testing is carried out in accordance with the Institute of Lighting Engineer's Technical Report No.22 Managing a Vital Asset: Lighting Supports as well as UK Lighting Board Code of Practice: Well-lit Highways.
- 1.11 Once results are input, the database then compares these results against the more general age profile to determine a final list of priority repairs. This produces recommendations in order of priority for both individual units and whole streets or areas.
- 1.12 Recommendations are generally categorised as Category A through K as follows:
  - A: Immediate replacement
  - B: Replace urgently or reinspect within 6 months
  - C1: Column Material failure, replace as soon as possible or reinspect within 1 year
  - C2: Bracket failure, sleeve where possible or replace unit within 1 year

- D: Foundation failure, realign, reinstate and reinspect within 6 months
- E: Material approaching failure, replace as part of planned maintenance programme or reinspect within 2 years
- F: Material approaching failure, replace as part of planned maintenance programme or reinspect within 5 years
- G: Condition reasonable, but age expired and certified insured for 2 year periods until replaced
- H: Condition reasonable, but age expired and certified insured for 5 year periods until replaced
- I: Acceptable condition but age expired and insured for 5 years periods until replaced.
- J: Sound condition but age expired & visually poor (evidence of concrete cracking etc.)
- K: Sound condition and not age expired no current requirement for strength structural inspection, visual only at planned maintenance cycle.
- 1.13 Where non-urgent replacement recommendations (Category F through to J) are on an individual column basis, the data is further analysed to determine a percentage value for recommended replacement numbers against the balance of units in a street. If this figure exceeds 30% then the entire street will be considered for higher prioritisation which will address the design class standard of the street beyond individual replacement for safety reasons only.
- 1.14 The structures programme is identified based on the structures prioritisation matrix which ranks assets based on a number of factors including its condition, safety, and usage.

North Ayrshire Council - RoadsMumberCarriageway Scheme Assessment FormNorth Ayrshire								
Town:								
Road Name:	Road Name:							
Location:								
Comments:								
Category: CONDITION RATIN	IG			Тур	De: CARR	IAGEWAY		
Rated By:			D	ate Rate	ed:			
Criteria			Sco (S)			hting V)	Priority Score (S x W)	
1. Condition					4	1		
2. Maintenance Category					2			
3. Public Liability Claims / Fault Reports / Complaints					1			
4. Assistance to Other Prioritie	4. Assistance to Other Priorities					1		
				Tota	l Priority	Score:		
Treatment Type:								
Length:		Brea	dth:			Area:		
Patching Required:	Area:					Depth:		
Kerbing Required:	Length:							
Additional Comments								
Assessed By: Reassessed By:								
Assessment Date:			Re	assess	ment Da	ite:		
Checked By:				C	hecked I	By:		

## North Ayrshire Council - Roads Carriageway Resurfacing Scheme Priority System

#### <u>General</u>

The weighting system devised enables the programme of carriageway resurfacing schemes to be objective, rated against a number of important criteria.

Scoring System					
Criteria	Maximum Score	Weighting	Score		
1. Condition	16	4	64		
2. Maintenance Category	22	2	44		
3. Public Liability Claims / Fault Reports / Complaints	6	1	6		
4. Assistance to Other Priorities	14	1	14		
		Maximum Total:	128		

#### 1. Condition

Taken from initial Condition Assessment Score generated during inspection

Condition — → Extent ↓	1 – Acceptable	2 – Safe but poor appearance	3 – Minor deterioration	4 – Major Deterioration
1 – Up to 25%		5	9	13
2 – 25% - 50%		6	10	14
3 – 50% - 75%		7	11	15
4 – 75% - 100%	4	8	12	16

#### 2. Maintenance Category - Local Transport Strategy (LTS)

Maintenance Category	Score
Strategic Routes - (A760 / A736 / A71)	22
Main Distributor Routes	15
Secondary Distributor Routes	11

#### 3. Public Liability Claims / Fault Reports / Complaints

Score according to the type / source of complaint / fault report / request for service received for the location

- 1 Public Complaint or a Fault Report resulting in a confirmed defect
- 2 Multiple Requests for service or Fault Reports resulting in confirmed defects
- 4 Elected Member Complaint or Request for Service
- 6 Public Liability Claim

#### 4. Assistance to Other Priorities

Use your own knowledge of the surrounding area to rate the location in relation to:

- 2 Adjacent to Local Shops
- 4 Adjacent to Amenity Housing, Residential Care Homes and Medical Centres
- 6 Adjacent to Schools, Leisure Facilities and Tourist Attractions
- 8 Business Parks and Industrial Estates
- 10 Access to Train Stations and Park & Ride facilities
- 14 Town Centre



Å	
NORTH AYRSHIRE	

Type: FOOTWAY

Area:

## Footway & Footpath Scheme Assessment Form

Town:

Road Name:

Location:

Comments:

Category: CONDITION RATING

Rated By:

Rated By:	Date of Rat	ted:	
Criteria	Score (S)	Weighting (W)	Priority Score (S x W)
1. Condition		4	
2. Importance / Accessibility		2	
3. Public Liability Claims / Fault Reports / Complaints		1	
4. Assistance to Other Priorities		2	
	Tota	al Priority Score:	

Treatment Type:				
Length:		Breadth:	Area:	
Kerbing Required:	Length:			

Additional Comments

l	Assessed By:	Reassessed By:	
	Assessment Date:	Reassessment Date:	
	Checked By:	Checked By:	



## North Ayrshire Council - Roads Footway & Footpath Resurfacing Scheme Priority System

#### <u>General</u>

The weighting system devised enables the programme of footway & footpath resurfacing schemes to be objective, rated against a number of important criteria.

Scoring System					
Criteria	Maximum Score	Weighting	Score		
1. Condition	16	4	64		
2. Importance / Accessibility	5	2	10		
3. Public Liability Claims / RMS Faults / Complaints	6	1	6		
4. Assistance to Other Priorities	10	2	20		
		Maximum Total:	100		

#### 1. Condition

Taken from initial Condition Assessment Score generated during inspection

Condition — → Extent ↓	1 – Acceptable	2 – Safe but poor appearance	3 – Minor deterioration	4 – Major Deterioration
1 – Up to 25%		5	9	13
2 – 25% - 50%		6	10	14
3 – 50% - 75%		7	11	15
4 – 75% - 100%	4	8	12	16

#### 2. Importance / Accessibility

	Score
Footway / Footpath Priority 1 Gritting Route	5
Footway / Footpath Priority 2 Gritting Route	3
Footway / Footpath Priority 3 Gritting Route	2
Other Footway / Footpath	1

#### 3. Public Liability Claims / Fault Reports / Complaints

Score according to the type / source of complaint / fault report / request for service received for the location

- 1 Public Complaint or Fault Report resulting in a confirmed defect
- 2 Multiple Requests for service or Fault Reports resulting in confirmed defects
- 4 Elected Member Complaint or Request for Service
- 6 Public Liability Claim

#### 4. Assistance to Other Priorities

Use your own knowledge of the surrounding area to rate the location in relation to:

- 1 Shared Cycle / Footways
- 2 Adjacent to Local Shops
- 4 Adjacent to Schools, Leisure Facilities and Tourist Attractions
- 6 Adjacent to Amenity Housing, Residential Care Homes and Medical Centres
- 8 Local Bus Route, access to Train Stations and Park & Ride facilities
- 10 Town Centre



	ure Name: hen the scoring is ca	arried out:			Structure N		e based on th
	Priority Ranking fo		oital programme		Structure	Net score	% of
		Maximum	]		Score		total Scor
No.	Factors	Score			Input		
	Type of Bridge	1	Score 1 if road bridge and 0	Culverts, Subways which carry road shall be			
			if foot bridge	considered as road bridge as per this scoring system.			
1				Structures which carry only pedestrians, cyclists and			
				equestrians shall be considered as footbridge.		NA	
2	Route Factor	40	Score based on NAC route hierachy	Route hierachy		0	0%
2		40	Score bused on twice route merueny	Cat 2 - SPT/ NAC strategic routes - 40		Ū	070
				Cat 3a - Main distributor routes - 30			
				Cat 3b - secondary distributor routes - 20			
				Any other category - 10			
				Routes serving fewer than 5 properties - 5			
3	HGV Restriction	60	Score based on weight capacity	Weight restriction		0	0%
	factor	00	Score susce on weight capacity	3 tonnes - 60		Ū	070
				7.5 to 13 tonnes tonnes - 50			
				18 tonnes - 40			
				26 tonnes - 30			
				No weight restriction - 0			
	Condition factor	10	Score based on the condition of the	Sliding score based on 0 for very good condition to			
4	condition factor	10	bridge	10 for poor condition. (10 - (BCI crit/ 10))		0	0%
	Deterioration	10	Score based on the rate of	Sliding score based on 0 for very slow deterioration			
5	factor		deterioration of the structure	to 10 for rapid deterioration		0	0%
	Pedestrian	20	Coord on redestries was	Structures with factures in base its used when areas			
		20	Score based on pedestrian usage. Bridges with footways of heavy	Structures with footways in heavily used urban areas score 20. Score 20 if route is access to a school or			
6	factor		pedestrian usage shall score a	railway station. Apply a sliding scale going down to 0		0	0%
0			maximum of <b>30</b> .	for rural structures without footways.		0	070
				for furth structures without footways.			
	Flooding factor	40	Score based on the potential for the	A structure that makes no contribution to flooding			
	-		existing structure to contrubute to	risk will score 0. Structures that are know to increase			
7			flooding	the risk of flooding due to restrictions in width or		0	0%
-				soffit height will score 30.			
	Scour factor	60	Score based on risk of collapse due	Risk of collapse of structure due to scouring.			
			to expose to scour in heavy flow	Structures which have been deterioted severely			
			conditions	because of inadequate scour protection and on verge			
8				of collapse score maximum. Scour risk based on a		0	0%
				sliding scale.			
	Parapet Condition	15	Score based on the condition of the	Structures with substandard Parapets with poor			
	Factor	12	parapets	conditon will score 15. Structures with substandard			
			parapeto	parapets with a 'monitor only' recommendation will			
9				score 10. Structures which have parapets to current		0	0%
				standards will score 0.			
	Parapet Risk	10	Score based on risk in the event of a	What is the likelihood of severe injury or even death			
	Factor	10	parapet collapse leading to high risk				
10			injuries and human casualties.	condition of the structure. Risk based on a sliding		-5	100%
				scale.			

Score	
	Additional commentry
0%	
0%	
0%	
0%	
0%	
0%	
0%	
0%	
00%	

Enter score based on the description in the following coloured cells

		minutes at peak times will score 5 and longer than 2 minutes will score 10. Score maximum if fire station, railway station or hospital affected by delay.			0	0%	
10	Score based on risk in the event of a Structure collapse leading to high risk injuries and human casualties.	What is the likelihood of someone getting a high risk injury or even death while the structure is open to use considering the condition of the structure. Risk based on a sliding scale.			0	0%	
20	Score based on maintenance required to keep the existing structure open.	Score based on known maintenance history and requirement. No maintenance requirement will score 0. Listed structures score 15.			0	0%	
10	Score based on the length of the diversion route if the structure is	Score based on diversion length. Any diversion equal to or more than 20 miles scores 20. Score 1 for each 2 miles of diversion up to 20. Score 10 if a road closure adversly affects a fire or railway station or hospital. Score 10 if there is no alternative diversion.			0	0%	
	20	<ul> <li>Structure collapse leading to high risk injuries and human casualties.</li> <li>20 Score based on maintenance required to keep the existing structure open.</li> <li>10 Score based on the length of the diversion route if the structure is closed in an unplanned manner with</li> </ul>	Structure collapse leading to high risk injuries and human casualties.injury or even death while the structure is open to use considering the condition of the structure. Risk based on a sliding scale.20Score based on maintenance required to keep the existing structure open.Score based on known maintenance history and requirement. No maintenance requirement will score 0. Listed structures score 15.10Score based on the length of the diversion route if the structure is closed in an unplanned manner with no finite time limit.Score based on diversion up to 20. Score 10 if a road closure adversly affects a fire or railway station or	Structure collapse leading to high risk injuries and human casualties.injury or even death while the structure is open to use considering the condition of the structure. Risk based on a sliding scale.20Score based on maintenance required to keep the existing structure open.Score based on known maintenance history and requirement. No maintenance requirement will score 0. Listed structures score 15.10Score based on the length of the diversion route if the structure is closed in an unplanned manner with no finite time limit.Score based on diversion up to 20. Score 10 if a road closure adversly affects a fire or railway station or	Structure collapse leading to high risk injuries and human casualties.injury or even death while the structure is open to use considering the condition of the structure. Risk based on a sliding scale.20Score based on maintenance required to keep the existing structure open.Score based on known maintenance history and requirement. No maintenance requirement will score 0. Listed structures score 15.10Score based on the length of the diversion route if the structure is closed in an unplanned manner with no finite time limit.Score based on diversion up to 20. Score 10 if a road closure adversly affects a fire or railway station or	Structure collapse leading to high risk injuries and human casualties.injury or even death while the structure is open to use considering the condition of the structure. Risk based on a sliding scale.020Score based on maintenance required to keep the existing structure open.Score based on known maintenance history and requirement. No maintenance requirement will score 0. Listed structures score 15.010Score based on the length of the diversion route if the structure is closed in an unplanned manner with no finite time limit.Score adversly affects a fire or railway station or0	Structure collapse leading to high risk injuries and human casualties.injury or even death while the structure is open to use considering the condition of the structure. Risk based on a sliding scale.00%20Score based on maintenance required to keep the existing structure open.Score based on known maintenance history and requirement. No maintenance requirement will score 0. Listed structures score 15.00%10Score based on the length of the diversion route if the structure is closed in an unplanned manner with no finite time limit.Score based on diversion length. Any diversion equal to or more than 20 miles scores 20. Score 1 for each 2 miles of diversion up to 20. Score 10 if a road closure adversly affects a fire or railway station or hospital. Score 10 if there is no alternative diversion.00%

Note maximum score that can be achieved for road bridge= 500

	ate of Scoring:	00-Jan-	00						
Priority Level Indicator	Overa	Overall works (500)		Structure works (100)		Parapet works (70)		Scour Protection (60)	
	Lev	vel Score	Level	Score	Level	Score	Level	Score	
No Action Rquired									
Low Priority									
Medium Priority									
High Priority									
Immediate action required									

Note : Works are divided above into three sub categories as each work can be independent and each has its own significance in terms of attention required.



